DRC SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT REPORT

Division: Engineering Member: Tim Welch

Engineering Design Mgr. Office Ph. 954-828-5123 Office Fax: 954-828-5275 Email: timw@cityfort.com

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. Comments for Engineering will be available at the meeting.

DRC SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT REPORT

Division: Fire **Member:** Albert Weber

954-828-5875

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. Flow test required.

2. Show hydrant location.

DRC <u>SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT</u> <u>REPORT</u>

Division: Info. Systems **Member:** Mark Pallans

(GRG)

954-828-5790

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. No apparent interference will result from this plan at this time.

DRC <u>SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT</u> <u>REPORT</u>

Division: Landscape Member: Dave Gennaro

954-828-5200

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. Indicate requirements for irrigation, including the requirement for a rain sensor.

- 2. Make sure there are no sight triangle obstructions. Certain existing material may obstruct visibility.
- 3. If there are no existing overhead lines, use a shade tree instead of the Silver Buttonwood in the center island.
- 4. Indicate any utilities that would affect proposed planting (such as overhead power lines) on the Landscape Plan.
- 5. Signoff plans to be sealed by the Landscape Architect.

Recommendations:

DRC SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT REPORT

Division: Planning Member: Mark McDonnell

954-828-8981

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Request: Parking Reduction/Site Plan Review/Change of Use: Residential Triplex

to Professional offices.

Comments:

1. Property owner must sign the application.

- 2. Notarized letter of intent must be submitted.
- 3. Total estimated cost of project is missing.
- 4. Part 2 of 2 of the application is missing.
- 5. Why is a "Plat of Lauderdale" provided with the application but the subject property is not included on the image?
- 6. Need to convert the architecture scale to engineering for the site plan shown on SP 1 of 1.
- 7. Label all new improvements as "proposed."
- 8. Provide a legend to identify all symbols and abbreviations (ie., CLF=chain link fence; LP=liquid propane or light pole?)
- 9. Provide a label for the existing awnings.
- 10. Provide base flood elevation data where it is left blank on the plan.
- 11. Provide all existing setback measurements directly beside the list of the "minimums" required.
- 12. The land use designation is identified as LRCA on the plan, and then the small map attached to the plan uses the identification of S RAC? What do these designations represent? Should these be consistent?
- 13. Why does the building height beneath the <u>Building Description</u> heading state 13 feet, but under the Area Tabulations it is indicated as 15 feet?
- 14. Pursuant to 47-3.9, nonconforming structures may be re-used, but must prove neighborhood compatibility. Please provide narrative to satisfy this requirement.
- 15. Pursuant to 47-5.60.C.3.b., provide signage information.
- 16. Pursuant to 47-20.3, an application for a parking reduction is required.
- 17. Pursuant to 47-20.4.A.1, parking is not permitted in the landscape buffer area required by 47-25.3-Neighborhood Compatibility Requirements.
- 18. Provide a detail of the wheel stops to ensure compliance with 47-20.7
- 19. Show wheel stops for the 9-foot wide parking space in the rear.

DRC <u>SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT</u> REPORT

- 20. The "18-foot" parking spaces are measured from points outside of the property boundaries. Revise.
- 21. Explain why three (3) parking spaces off the alley are so long (ie., 26'-6")
- 22. Pursuant to 47-20.14.B., lights that exceed 10 feet in height must be located a minimum of 15 feet from shade trees. Provide dimensional measurements for all light poles when near shade trees to ensure compliance.
- 23. Pursuant to 47-20.14.A, provide detailed information on SL1 of 1 to confirm lighting requirements are being met.
- 24. Pursuant to 47-20.15.1, back out parking onto the alley appears to meet code.
- 25. Pursuant to 47-20.15.4.e., address back out parking; City Engineer approval is required to eliminate the sidewalk requirement. Provide this approval with the resubmittal.
- 26. Pursuant to 47-20.15.5.g., provide sidewalk.
- 27. Additional requirements may be forthcoming at DRC meeting.

Recommendations:

DRC <u>SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT</u> REPORT

Division: Police Member: Det. Gary J. Gorman

954-828-6421

97-R-03

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. All lighting should conform to standards set by the IESNA (Illumination Engineers Society of North America).

- 2. All entry doors and locking devices will have sufficient security rating.
- 3. Will ALL solid entry doors have a 180-degree viewing device? (peep hole)
- 4. Will the overhead garage door have a secondary locking device?
- 5. Will this building have a perimeter security system, including panic buttons for emergency conditions?
- 6. Will CCTV be used to monitor the entryway and parking area?
- 7. All landscaping should allow full view of location.
- 8. Will there be sufficient perimeter lighting for night operations?
- 9. Please submit comments in writing prior to DRC sign-off.

DRC <u>SITE PLAN REVIEW AND COMMENT</u> REPORT

Division: Zoning Member: Terry Burgess

954-828-5913

Project Alan Jacobson Case #: 97-R-03

Name:

Date: September 23, 2003

Comments:

1. Plans as shown indicate a shortage in the number of parking spaces pursuant to section 47-20.2 professional office is calculated at a rate of 1/250 gfa. Building gfa= 2922/250=12 parking spaces.

- 2. An application for a parking reduction request is required pursuant to section 47-20.3.
- 3. Pursuant to section 47-20.11 a minimum-parking stall shall be 8'8" x 18' clear area.
- 4. Dimension the garage to indicate compliance with the minimum parking stall area.
- 5. Provide a five (5) foot sidewalk pursuant to 47-20.15.
- 6. Light fixtures shall comply with the setback requirements of the zoning district in which they are located pursuant to section 47-19.2.R.
- 7. Additional comments may be discussed at DRC meeting.